



NIHIL NISI IESUM

Dedicated to Mary, Mother of God

Salus Animarum Suprema Lex Esto (Canon Law 175)

The Salvation of Souls is the Supreme Law in the Church

A Sober Reflection on "*Laudato si*" a Deeply Defective Encyclical



It has become a sad and painful realization that not all the popes of Holy Mother the Church have been holy.

Only during the tumultuous and deeply troubling pontificate of Francis did ordinary Catholics have any motivation to question the personal sanctity or the competence of any pope. In our efforts to find precedence, we have, of course, subsequently come to learn that Pope Honorius was anathematized in 680 A.D., condemned as a *heretic* and *excommunicated* — forty years after his death! If we looked further, we found the inexcusable moral turpitude of the Borgia Pope Alexander VI which brought the papacy and the administration of the Church into nothing less than disrepute. In modern times we have the widespread dissension, division, and disaffection, occasioned by Pope John XXIII in convening of the Second Vatican Council for no pressing or apparent reason — and whose prescient last words — which went unheeded — were: "Stop the Council!"¹ The destructive aftermath, of course, is clear to everyone, and the Church is still — after more than 50 years — reverberating with that monumental collapse, and everywhere we look it is crumbling. It will not be destroyed, but it may be torn down to its foundations.

In a climate of spiritual license we now find current popes canonizing virtually all their immediate predecessors — a scandalous state of affairs given the utter mediocrity of Paul VI (who surrendered the sacred Papal Tiara — the *Triregnum* used by all popes since the 8th century — and all it signified) to the Buddhist U Thant who presided over the U.N. — and which was never to be worn again), and the outrageously *blasphemous* "ecumenical" convergence of all the world's "spiritual" leaders at Assisi in October 1986 *called for by John-Paul II* in pursuit of the Second Vatican Council's emphasis on the non-denominational avenue to salvation open to every adherent of *every* religion, however primitive and profane — whether or not it so much as acknowledged Christ's sole, unique, and absolutely necessary Sacrifice on the Cross.

In Francis, however, we find the papacy going beyond "ecumenism" — even beyond religion itself. In his present and deeply defective encyclical, "*Laudato si*" we find the present Pope, Francis, issuing *encyclicals* on the *environment* and *economics*!

Let us be frank: *none* of these issues falls within the scope of Francis's (quite limited) competency or divinely invested power, nor are we able to reconcile them with the Petrine Office which has been entrusted to him. When Christ said to Saint Peter, *"Feed my sheep."* (Saint John 21.17) we do not believe that He had in mind "*the tragic effects of environmental degradation* on the lives of the world's poorest" (*Laudato si*,13) or "*the intimate relationship between the poor and the fragility of the planet*" (16). Yes, as Saint James is clear (St. James 2.16), we have a very real

individual responsibility for providing for those in need. This matter is not in question in the least, nor has it ever been.

But it is imperative to understand that Christ also recognized a *greater and more pressing need still:*

"Amen, amen I say to you, you seek me, not because you have seen miracles, but because you did eat of the loaves, and were filled. Labor not for the meat which perisheth, but for that which endureth unto life everlasting, which the Son of man will give you." (Saint John 6.26-28).

It was in *this* regard that Christ told Saint Peter to "*Feed My sheep*." It was a *spiritual* command ... *not* an *economic* mandate.

If the Pope pontificates on *economics* we must ask ourselves, can an *economist* pontificate on Canon Law?

"Of course not", you say, "The province of expertise embodied in an economist does not qualify him as a theologian. It's not his job description. He may have *opinions* on the matter but that is all they are: *opinions*." By the same reasoning, neither can a pope pontificate on *economics*. It's not *his* job description. His job description is *spiritual*: proclaiming the Gospel, retaining the Deposit of the Faith, leading the Church faithfully in the ways of Christ, and saving souls. In fact, his Master very clearly states, to avoid all confusion, that His Kingdom is not of this world. (*"Regnum Meum non est de hoc mundo."* (Saint John 18.36)

An economist's job description, on the other hand, is explicitly *temporal and material*: to research and analyze economic issues; it precisely pertains to the world, matter, money, and financial assets. Pope Francis, by contrast, has an *individual* right, as do all men, to an *opinion* on economic issues — but even as Pontiff he possesses no authority *in* them since he has no credentials *for* them.

To better understand the incongruity, let us ask: Would you go to Warren Buffet for "spiritual advice on moral matters?" And, conversely, "Would you go the pope for "economic advice concerning your retirement options?" Really? It is a matter of competency and invested authority. Would you attend a seminar featuring Warren Buffett on the topic of "saving your soul and going to Heaven"? Would you go to one featuring Pope Francis on "efficiently organizing your work strategy and finances"? You would cock your head in bewilderment and politely decline both. Say it is not so! Or do we have a deal for you on ocean-front property in Nebraska! Until the pontificate of Francis, we, as Catholics, had two holy Mothers:

- Our Holy Mother the Church
- Our Holy Mother, Mary

... and now ... to our incredulity, *a third* — we find foisted upon us, a third: "our holy *Mother Earth*" ...?

Indeed, Pope Francis, in his encyclical, *Laudato si*, insists that we:

- "Love the land as *Mother earth*"
- "Make alliance with Mother Earth"
- "*This sister [Earth] now cries out to us because of the harm we have inflicted on her* by our irresponsible use and abuse of the goods which God has endowed her with. We have come to see ourselves as her lord and masters, entitled to plunder her at will. The violence present in our hearts, wounded by sin, is also reflected in the soil, in the water, in the air, and in all forms of life."
- "We human beings are united as brothers and sisters on a wonderful pilgrimage, woven together by the love God has for each of his creatures and

which also unites us in fond affection with brother sun, sister moon, brother river and *mother earth*."

"One thing is certain: we can no longer turn our backs on reality, on our brothers and sisters, on *Mother Earth*." (speech at the Pontifical Catholic University of Ecuador)

Yes, *Saint* Francis of Assisi wrote the beautiful *Canticle of the Sun* from which Pope Francis *selectively* draws in this encyclical — but he egregiously neglects (with most "progressive" bishops and clerics, to say nothing of the stultified laity) to mention even *once Saint Francis's stern admonition in closing that same Canticle:*

"Woe to those who die in mortal sin!

Happy those she finds doing Your most holy will.

The second death can do no harm to them."

Pope Francis, on the other hand, absurdly asserts that, "to commit a crime against the natural world is a sin against ourselves and a sin against God"

It is worthwhile noting that he is quoting — ecumenically of course — "His All Holiness Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew" (*On Earth as in Heaven: Ecological Vision and Initiatives of Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew*) the worldwide leader of the *Orthodox Churches* — which are *not* in communion with Rome. Prior to the Second Vatican Council, popes quoted from Christ, His Apostles and His Saints *within* the Catholic Church.)

Let us be Clear: One Cannot Sin against "Mother Earth!"

It is not only a ridiculous but a blasphemous notion. We cannot *sin againt* "Mother Earth" any more than we can sin against a stone: it is not a *person* created in *imago Dei*, and it certainly is not a deity, except in pagan religions, New Age Theology, and Wicca — and, it would appear, the present papacy.

How far Saint Francis's *spiritual* vision is ... from Pope Francis's *economic* vision: With great urgency, he insists that "*The system of production and distribution of food must be radically rethought.*" (We do not know what school of *theology* this derives from; very likely Jesuit in origin, for the Jesuits, once so fiercely loyal to the Church and the papacy are now, by and large, today's modern apostates *within* the Post-Modern Church that Pope Francis appears intent on constructing, even as he "deconstructs" the Church of the Ages). The Holy Father is **not** aptly named, after all. "*Francis: re-build my Church, which as you can see is falling into ruin.*" Thus Christ spoke to Francis of Assisi in 1206. He did not direct Francis to reform feudal economics.

If much of this is reminiscent of New Age thought and the culture of the '60s, we are at least inclined to wonder at the correspondence, yes?

We pray for Pope Francis, that God lead him and guide him in *His ways* — and *not the ways of the world*, for we have greater authority to which to appeal than "His All Holiness Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew." As Catholics we have the unimpeachable witness and admonishment of two:

- Saint John: "Love not the world, neither the things that are in the world. If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him." (1 St. John 2.15)
- Saint Paul: "The world has been crucified to me, and I to the world." (Gal. 6.14)

In our humble — and perhaps even mistaken opinion — the last truly holy and absolutely faithful Pontiff was *Pope Pius X* (the veritable bane of the liberal and "progressive" mind-set that permeates our Post-Modern Church). We do not assert

that the Seat of Peter expired after his death. We are not Sedevacantists — yet. Whether or not it has been heroically Catholic in the last 50 years is, in our opinion, very questionable. The Church has suffered much and terribly as a consequence of Vatican II. But just as Pope Pius X sat upon the *Cathedra* that Honorius and Alexander stained, another Pope of heroic Catholic sanctity may await us and restore what had been — once again, in our opinion — illicitly expropriated from us. In that sense we are, indeed, Faithful to the Sacred Deposit of Faith entrusted to the Holy see in Rome when it is exercised as such *in conspectu Dei*, and in complete continuity and agreement with that 2000 year Deposit of Faith — *which is susceptible to being (illicitly) ignored or prescinded from to the ends of man, but from which no one can deprive Catholics, and which none can abolish.*

Remember the admonition of Saint Paul to Saint Timothy:

"For there shall be a time, when they will not endure sound doctrine; but, according to their own desires, they will heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears: And will indeed turn away their hearing from the truth, but will be turned unto fables." (2 Tim. 4:3-4)

May we humbly ask that you pray for us, and for all who contend against "the deceits of the devil. "For *our wrestling is not against flesh and blood*; but against principalities and power, against the rulers of the world of this darkness, against the spirits of wickedness in the high places." (Eph. 6.11-12)

¹<u>http://www.ewtn.com/v/experts/showmessage.asp?number=296979</u>

Geoffrey K. Mondello Editor Boston Catholic Journal



Copyright $^{\odot}$ 2004 - 2023 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.