



Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI IESUM

Dedicated to Mary, Mother of God

Salus Animarum Suprema Lex Esto (Canon Law 175)

The Salvation of Souls is the Supreme Law in the Church



Are We *all* Children of God`
... no matter what?

While in Singapore, Indonesia, Francis recently made the following statement:

*“All religions are a path to God. “They are like different languages in order to arrive at God, but God is God for all and Since God is God for all, then we are all children of God. There’s only one God, and each of us has a language to arrive at God. Some are Sikh, Muslim, Hindu, Christian, and **they are different paths to God.**”¹*

Notice that Francis does not say, “**different gods**,” but rather, speaks in the singular: *God* (one God) who he very *clearly* identifies as the same God worshipped differently in each respective religion. Apart from recognizing the *historical* significance of this openly heretical statement, it is also that for Francis to speak *very clearly* and *unambiguously* about virtually **anything** is *extremely* rare and therefore noteworthy.

I will not attempt to parse the logical contradictions glaringly inherent in such a statement. I will leave logic aside and let the different *religions*, or Francis’s “*different paths* to God,” decide the matter for us among themselves. What do you say?

Muslims will strongly, and *rightly*, disagree with the statement that the Holy Trinity, that is to say, the Christian God, and Allah, are the same. **They are not!** The Muslim will tell you in no uncertain terms — even *vehemently* — that **Francis is a liar!** The Muslim Imam knows this ... but the Catholic Pope, together with his coterie of Vatican II Ecumenists, does not.

I wonder if he is prepared to **correct** his Muslim “brothers” as he walks *the “Synodal Way of Accompaniment”* with them on the road to the *Kaaba* in Mecca ... where only *Muslims* are allowed to enter.

So ... Francis is very clear about both: who **we** are, and who **God** is:

- “we are **all** children of God
- and there is **only one God** ... Sikh, Muslim, Hindu, Christian ... *it matters not*;
- all are **different** ... *but the same* — and the only ones who do not realize this are the gods themselves and the practitioners of each religion ...
- *nevertheless* ... because the distinction between them is ultimately *illusory* in the “Ecumenical” purview, **all** differences and contradictions are, in some incomprehensible manner, fantastically reconciled such that, despite all doctrines, teachings, dogmas, creeds, beliefs, rituals, and appearances, they each and *equally constitute certain* paths to the one same God.

Oh, yes ... and even if *my* God calls *your* god an idol and a demon — which our God does:

“Declare his glory among the nations ... he is terrible to all the gods, because all the gods of the nations are demons, but the Lord made the heavens.” (Psalm 96.3–5)

God, then, is whatever and whomever we wish him to be — and *every* path ... however *divergent from*, and *contradictory to*, every *other path*, is a certain way to a god of our choosing who, despite every conflicting ascription, every incompatible predication — strangely enough turns out to be *our own god* ... also! And we never knew it. This is not just theological, but inescapably *logical* nonsense.

But does it sound strangely familiar? It should:

On June 14th 2017 Francis made the following statement:

*“Is it possible God has some children He does not love?
No! We are **all** God’s beloved children.”* ²

-

In light of his vitriolic denunciation of Catholic Tradition in favor of Ecumenism — an altogether heretical concept *prior* to Vatican II — and one which Francis *resolutely* promotes at the cost of *authentic* Catholicism, it is not surprising in the least that he asks this question — as though the question itself is altogether rhetorical ... already answered in its being asked: **“Of course, not!”**

And what is more troubling still ... is that this question is ... in fact ... received by most post-Conciliar Catholics as merely rhetorical as well! ... that is to say, as though the answer is already understood in the asking — and that answer, of course, is a resounding: **“yes — of course! After all, everyone goes to Heaven. The pope himself routinely tells us so!”** — despite what Christ teaches us about the “hard and narrow” way to Heaven:

“Enter in at the narrow gate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way that leads to destruction, and *many there are who go that way*. How narrow is the gate, and strait is the way that leads to life: and *few there are that find it!* Beware of false prophets,

who come to you in the clothing of sheep, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.”
(St. Mat. 7.15-23)

Oh, yes, concerning the “false prophets, who come to you in the clothing of sheep, but inwardly they are ravening wolves,” may we suggest that you consider five:

1. John XXIII
2. Paul VI
3. John Paul I
4. John Paul II
3. and the APEX WOLF: Francis

That is to say, in short, *every* pontiff who instigated, promoted, or was complicit in what we have come to know as Vatican II which decimated the Church and Religious Orders, contemned and vitiated Her teachings, effectively abrogated Her Sacred Tradition, laid siege to Her Sacred Deposit of Faith, outlawed her language (Latin), abolished the Mass of the Ages (Tridentine), defiled the Sanctuary with women “*Ministers*” of Communion (note that they are no longer designated “*Extraordinary-ministers*”), secularized the Liturgy, and homosexualized Her priests, bishops, and cardinals — so this includes *every pope* that you may have admired since 1958).

Consider the following: Catholic Mass attendance was 75+% in 1955 and plunged to 20-30% in 2017. In 1970, 55 % of American Catholics went to Mass every Sunday, and in 2019 that figure dwindled to 20%. “*The Center for Church Management at Villanova University* projects an attendance rate in the neighborhood of 12 percent by next year or the year after.”³ “Altar girls” vastly outnumber “Altar-Boys” and both are “socially/correctly” called “Altar Servers” — thereby abolishing any distinction in gender in deference to the rise of “Woman Church” and the poison of Feminism.

All this — *all of it* — is the fruit of Vatican II ... every effeminate and recreant priest, bishop, and cardinal; the feminization and homosexualization of nearly every aspect of the Catholic Church — has left it in ruins, pallid and prostrate before the World which it loves more than God. There *are* good and faithful traditional priests — who are persecuted mercilessly by their bishops, cardinals ... and even the pope. Good

men. Manly men. Priests of Almighty God! Men who do not lisp — and who would die before kissing the Muslim Quran! Not so Francis. Not so!

In our pursuit of Truth — *Who is, and Which is, nothing less than Jesus Christ Himself* — you must soberly ask yourself: does Vatican II *really* sound like a success story to you? If yes, then I suggest that you go to the essay “[Vatican II: The Model of the Failed Corporation](#)” .

We might take the earlier citation from Holy Scripture (St. Mat.7.15-23) as a prologue merely to the many disagreements between Francis and Jesus Christ in this matter and many, many others. Consider the following:

Jesus Christ:

- “You do the works of ***your*** father. Why do you *not* know my speech. Because you *cannot* hear my word. ***You are of your father the devil***, and the desires of *your* father you will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and he stood not in the truth because truth is not in him. He is a liar and ***the father of lies.***” (St. John 8.41-44)
- “He that commits sin is of the devil: for the devil sinned from the beginning. In this the children of God are manifest, ***and the children of the devil:*** Whosoever is not just, is not of God, nor he that loves not his brother.” (1 John 3.8-10)
- “Not everyone that says to me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the Kingdom of Heaven: but he that doeth the will of My Father who is in Heaven, he shall enter into the kingdom of heaven. Many will say to me in that day: Lord, Lord, have we not done many miracles in Thy name? And then will I profess unto them, ***I never knew you: depart from Me, you that work iniquity.***” (St. Mat.7.21-23)

Clearly, then, there are children whose Father, according to Christ, is God — that is to say, the ***children of God***; and there are children whose Father is the devil — which is to say, ***children of the devil!***

And yet ...according to *Francis*, “We are *all* God’s beloved children”

Whom do we Believe?

The point is simply this: whom shall we believe? The Master or the servant? Truth Himself — Who stood before Pontius Pilate on the day He was crucified while Pilate pedantically asked, “What is Truth?” ... even as Truth bled in his presence — or His feckless vicar who either distorts ... or contradicts the truth entrusted to him?

In other words, are we to believe *Truth Himself* or His recreant *proxy* who speaks in open contradiction to the Truth?

In 1521 Luther wrote to Philip Melanchthon (Luther’s closest collaborator in heresy)

“Love God and sin boldly ... No sin can separate us from Him, even if we were to kill or commit adultery thousands of times each day.” ⁷

The correspondence between Francis’s, “*Is it possible that God has some children He does not love? No! We are all God’s beloved children.*” and Martin Luther’s “*No sin can separate us from Him, even if we were to kill or commit adultery thousands of times each day.*” is unmistakable. This is the most manifest and deadly fruit of the heresy called Ecumenism.

Therefore, *despite* all that Christ taught us, *no matter* what we do, say, promote, believe, or not believe, is irrelevant. No sin is so heinous, no act so horrendous, no belief so criminal, no unbelief so absolute, that it can disqualify us from going to Heaven with all the other ... “Saints” ... like Hitler, Josef Mengele, Stalin, Hideki Tojo, Nero, Mao Zedong, Genghis Khan, Caligula, and Diocletian, to name a few. For Francis, these men — despite the magnitude of their malevolence and the enormity of their atrocities ... are nevertheless “God’s beloved children.”

Who can so much as conceptualize God uttering something like,

“*These are my beloved children: Adolf and his brothers Diocletian, Mao, Josef and Stalin; Tojo, Nero, Genghis, and Caligula.*”?

Who, indeed, *is* their father? Are they the “beloved children of God” whom Francis would have us believe — or are they those of whom Christ spoke: “*You are of your father the devil.*”

They cannot be both.

Either *Christ* is a deceiver — or *Francis* is.

However, being God with the Father and the Holy Ghost, Christ can neither deceive nor be deceived.⁶

Francis can, will, and does.

“Such a harsh, even cruel statement!” you will reproach me.

Less harsh, I will respond, and far less frightening than the words of Christ at the Last Judgment:

“And when the Son of Man shall come ... He shall separate them one from another, as the shepherd separate the sheep from the goats: He shall set the sheep on His right hand, but the goats on His left. Then ... He shall say to them also that shall be on His left hand: depart from Me, you cursed, into everlasting fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels.”⁸

The god of Francis, it turns out, is *not* the God of Sacred Scripture. He fabricates his god to assuage the guilt and fear of men — the better to accord with the World, the Flesh, and primeval things of darkness that have no place in the Light ...

Let us be Clear:

At this point, whether or not the Seat of Saint Peter is vacant, is quite beside the point:

That it is occupied by a heretic, a polytheist, and a madman, is by now very clear to anyone not on a career path in Rome.

Some popes have been Saints. Some have been scoundrels. Francis, regrettably, is certainly and most notoriously among the latter — and we will not, cannot, accept his repudiation of Jesus Christ Himself in Sacred Scripture together with the

perennial teachings of the Holy Catholic Church and the many heretical credenda foisted on the Church by that most unfortunate event called Vatican II; that is to say, Francis's chimerical understanding of himself — as the *paradigm* of Conciliar progressivism — and, lately, polytheism, in not pantheism — and also as the law-giver preeminent, *the law-maker who tolerates no dissent — indeed, who punishes it ruthlessly* — does *not* coincide with the 2000-year-old understanding of the Petrine Office in the Economy of Salvation. Francis is a vicar only. Not the Master.

Does a mere Vicar dare correct his Lord or amend His mandates? Of course not! Therefore, does Christ say:

“Amen, amen I say to you: The servant is not greater than his Lord; neither is the Apostle greater than He that sent him.” (St. John 14.16)

Must we therefore follow his teachings? We will let Pope Innocent III, [arguably the most effective pope ever](#), answer this:

“It is necessary to obey a pope in all things as long as he does not go against the universal customs of the Church, but *should he go against the universal customs of the Church he need not be followed.*”⁹

Francis, however, has done something more sinister still — something that no other pope preceding him, however corrupt, had done: *He made the Catholic Church unrecognizable.*

Geoffrey K. Mondello
Editor
Boston Catholic Journal

