



Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

www.boston-catholic-journal.com
editor@boston-catholic-journal.com

"Who is GOD?"



THE LOSS OF CHRIST TO ECUMENISM

and the Pantheon of the Post-Catholic “conciliar church”

Christ will one day return — yes, imagine, even in this cesspool of human and diabolical misery that we proudly, even defiantly, call the “post-Modern World” where the only ethic is the abolition of every ethic — that is to say, the calculated *repudiation* of **truth** as the vertex of all moral authority.

Of course, we can stand as Pilate did and ask with feigned ignorance, “**What is truth?**”¹ even as Pilate stood before Truth itself (Jesus Christ) — and then go on to crucify it. In fact ... **we have!**

But why?

Why this renunciation of Christ as absolutely singular and indispensable to salvation, such that Saint Paul unequivocally declares “**Neither is there salvation in any other. For there is no other Name under Heaven given to men, whereby we must be saved.**”²

The answer is quite pedestrian; in fact it is strikingly simple: the centrality and indispensability of Christ is an **impediment** to *something deemed greater than Truth itself* which, since Vatican II, has been the **Ecumenical project**, perhaps best understood as **religious neutrality**.

Every religion (however absurd, illogical, self-contradictory, and in manifest contradiction to every other religion) is not just “correct” — but is, in a way that defies reason and logic (to say nothing of Divine Revelation), an **equally true** expression of the **“One, True, Religion”** — which is **the affirmation of all** religions. We hasten to add that this is *not Catholicism*, but **a different religion altogether** — which had been mistakenly conflated with Catholicism for 60 years — but which has emerged as a phenomenon of itself and in its own right, having no distinct creed and in need of no doxological credentials — the very phenomenon which we have come to know as Ecumenism! Consider the following which Francis proclaimed to the Plenary Session of the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity on May 5, 2022:

“I would like to emphasize that today, **for a Christian, it is not possible or practicable to go alone with one’s own denomination. Either we go together, all the fraternal**

denominations, or we do not go ahead at all. Today the awareness of ecumenism is such that one cannot think of journeying on the path of faith without the company of brothers and sisters from other Churches of ecclesial communities. And this is a great thing. **Alone, never.** We cannot do it. Indeed, it is easy to forget this profound truth. When it happens to Christian communities, it exposes us to the serious risk of the presumption of self-sufficiency and self-referentiality, **which are grave obstacles to ecumenism.** And we see this. In some countries there are certain egocentric revivals – so to speak – of certain Christian communities that either go backwards, or cannot advance. **Today, either we all walk together or we do not walk.** This awareness is a truth and a grace from God.”

<https://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2022/05/06/20506c.html>

This is why we insist that Francis is not simply the *culmination*, but the *personification* of the failed logic of that malignant enterprise — a pantheistic

project, really — benignly dubbed “Ecumenism”. Since Vatican II, reason, logic, and revelation have been effectively abolished. **Ecumenism — not Christ** — is the *ne plus ultra*, the summit of every religious aspiration and impulse. It has two coinciding mantras:

Who is the True God?

We see this clearly in the three “**Inter-Faith-Assemblies**” initiated by **three popes** in Assisi (John Paul II on Oct. 26, 1986, “*to be together to pray*” and then on January 2002 his next pan-religious prayer meeting in the same venue — to be followed by Benedict XVI’s *prayer “Meeting for peace”* on October 27, 2011, and Francis’s “*Day of prayer for peace*”, in Assisi, Italy, Sept. 20, 2016).

On these occasions, when the *absence of certainty* concerning **THE One, True, God** was the *sine qua non* of the merest *possibility* of Ecumenism, and when praying to Islam's *Allah*, the Shinto *Kami*, Hindu’s *Trimurti*, the Zoroastrian *Ahura Mazdā*, the African animist’s snake god Dan, or the Buddhist’s *Dali Lama* who possesses no fewer than 108 “avatars” — was — in a ***quite uncertain*** way — praying to the ***same*** god in a kind of iridescent cope which each acclaimed as **the certain god**, although they could not reconcile all the alternating

perceptions and contradictions of which they were *certain* that they were *quite uncertain*; a situation in which a stunned world — and the faculty of reason — both baffled and infuriated the “progressive” Catholic Ecumenist who could, of course, resolve these otherwise insuperable issues in the snap of a chat. **It is a strange, recursive world completely apart from the world of men. And its epicenter is the Vatican.**

It is a world that expropriates madness from the narrow halls of the insane asylum, confiscating insanity, not only as emblematic of, but necessary to, the psychopathy encountered at every level of “higher authority” and “higher learning.” — religious and secular — but most especially the Vatican and Francis-as-pope.

This mantra — **“the certainty of uncertainty (*except the irrational notion of the certainty of uncertainty ...*)”** — *appears to verge* on something intelligible ... until you examine it more closely:

“Of this alone are we certain: the certainty of uncertainty (*except the certainty of uncertainty ...*)”

The religious epicenter of this mental illness is, of course, the post-Catholic Vatican — and its primary sponsor — and vector — Francis, who infects — with typical Jesuitical casuistry — everyone with whom he is in collusion — that is to say, the over 5000 Cardinals and Bishops (with a few courageous and notable exceptions) throughout the world. Francis is indeed the vector of this devastating disease that manifests itself in “**certain uncertainty**”.

Ambiguity, we must understand, is the first symptom: **state *nothing* with unimpeachable *certainty*, and *never* in unambiguous terms that possess the insolence of exactitude**. That is to say, apodictic (absolute) certainty is the first organ infected. It then advances through meaningless neologisms which *sound* meaningful to the dull-witted — *until* they are rationally examined by uninfected minds and reveal themselves as so much drivel, or unembellished nonsense. But let us allow **Francis, a heretic, Modernist, and the apex of evil in the Vatican**, to prove our point:

- “**A first principle for progress in building a people: time is greater than space.**”
- “**Space hardens processes**”

- “Spaces and power are preferred to time and processes”³

Unless you are the keeper of, or an inhabitant within, an insane asylum, such “Analects from Chairman Francis” would be impossible to parse in rational terms, let alone attain to something meaningful, or, for that matter, coherent.

Once this ambitious **policy of ambiguity and uncertainty** *infests* the offices and bookshelves of every seminary rector, pseudo-scholar, and all the “carefully groomed” future priests in virtually *every* Catholic seminary — it entirely **suppresses** and then ruthlessly **supersedes** (but can *never abolish*) the 2000 year patrimony and the infeasible competency of the “certain” Magisterium of 2000 years, together with the equally certain Sacred Deposit of Faith, and Holy Tradition, replacing all three with both spurious and meretricious parodies — none of which possess “certainty”.

“Pink Palaces”

There is a desperate, **decisive**, and crucial need to return to sanity — and with sanity, *certainty* — through **a complete reversion of all things to what is and ever was genuinely, unquestionably, and unapologetically**

Catholic. Everything: a specifically numinous and universal language crafted for divine and eternal worship — Latin — unchanging and unchangeable (hence no meaningless improvisations, no geographic or cultural inflections; only complete and formal universality). **Everything** religious, liturgical, intellectual, devotional, musical, every rubric, every response, *enunciated without ambiguity — everything must be restored* to the 2000-year-old form preceding the sacrilege of Vatican II.

This can only be achieved not by schism from — **NO!** — but **REVERSION TO the One, True, Holy, Catholic Church of our forebears for two millennia** — **and apart from which there is no salvation**, for “there is no other Name [or god, or goddess, or pagan idol — ed.] by which we are saved” (Acts 4.12) except **Christ Jesus** Who is the Head of the Church, and through Whom we have become members).

Our hot-bed-homosexual seminaries, rectors, Liberal and disaffected “teachers”; our “carefully groomed” pederast, pedophile, and predatory priests must first be immediately and unceremoniously thrown out, and the buildings they partied in and “co-occupied” must be thoroughly fumigated from the few seminaries that remain — **and no Feminist (or female) should be instructing Catholic MEN on how to be priests. That is madness!** Imagine lay-MEN instructing women on

how to become **contemplative cloistered nuns!** In what venue would that occur?

In a papally enclosed monastery? A Convent?

“Certain” ... “feelings”?

The notion of *certainty* is both epistemological *and* invariable. The notion of *feelings* is both emotional *and* variable. The two are **completely separate**.

“Certainties” pertain to universally accepted definitions that cannot be contravened without contradiction. Anything less would be mere opinion. “Emotion” and “feelings, on the other hand, can consistently be contradictory. They are not confined by reason or any other *objective* constraint.

My emotional “*feelings*” are different from *your* “*feelings*”, but my cognitive understanding, say, of a geometric Triangle **must** correspond, be in agreement with, *your* understanding (if you have one) of a Triangle: there is absolutely no latitude, or alternative definition. The sum of the three angles comprising a Triangle will *always* — without exception — equal **180 degrees**. That *absolute certainty* may not please you, but you cannot possibly make it otherwise. You can *state* that it has 120 degrees, but simply stating it will not make it so.

What we have Lost

Everything; everything we held dear and precious to us, everything distinguishable as uniquely Catholic — everything held sacred to us as Catholics has been has been *torn from us* by those who deem themselves “*intellectually superior*”, “aligned with **secular** times”, “more “enlightened”, “progressive”, “liberal”, and fashionably “dissident”. These, of course, are both *subjective* and *subversive* credentials.

Virtually every aspect of genuine, historical Catholicism has been thrown under the feet of Modernists: the avant-garde (that is to say, the increasingly Protestant) cardinals, bishops, theologians, and “liturgists” — together with the “ever-experimental” priests incardinated by apex homosexual predators with an impenetrable network of homosexual advocates both inside and outside the Church. We absolutely **must** remember Christ’s admonition: “Do not give what is holy to the dogs; nor cast your pearls before swine, lest **they trample them under their feet, and turn and tear you in pieces.**” (Saint Matthew 7.6)

Every genuine traditional Catholic understands the force behind this admonition. It is not simply marginalization, exclusion, and ridicule— but open **hostility**. One is much more likely to encounter a warm and welcoming reception toward a Calvinist, an animist, an atheist, or a pagan ... *but definitely not for a traditional*

Catholic. Why? Because **a traditional Catholic possesses certainty** — and central **to that certainty is Jesus Christ Who is largely ignored in “ecumenical gatherings”** **as an impediment to Francis’s program of “Accompaniment” with all men and all gods under any and all conditions.**

Christ sometimes spoke analogically, but for the greater part of His (that is to say, God’s) discourses or simple utterances, He spoke with forceful clarity, unmitigated austerity, and absolute unambiguity. **There was no misunderstanding Christ** — or Saint Peter, Saint Paul, and Saint John. No effort was made to accommodate the “sensitivities” of men, or the utter repugnance of the world. As Saint Peter succinctly stated, regardless of the consequence, **“We ought to obey God, rather than men.”** (Acts 5.29)

Judge for yourself: if the absence of certainty is not ineluctably the absence of Christ — of what He taught, what He commanded, and what He mandated for all who presume to follow in His footsteps — we have no warrant, no reason whatever, to appeal to what is uncertain — and being *uncertain*, inconsequential.

The corruption, estrangement, and ultimately the repudiation of Catholicism by Vatican II must be recognized, confronted, and not simply “resisted” — but actively abolished by a refusal to legitimize it by participating in it.

FIND A TRADITIONAL CATHOLIC CHURCH!

In a very practical aside, when you “support” your local **Novus Ordo**

church or every diocesan plea for your money, recognize that you are actually paying for the exorbitant fee of lawyers hired by the diocese to defend

homosexual-predator-priests who rape your children and grandchildren. What is more, much of that (your) money is sent to the Vatican in billions of dollars (for the poor, you understand ...) which are used for countless ventures having

absolutely nothing to do with alleviating the condition of “the poor”, or

“evangelizing non-Catholics in poor nations; rather, it is used to sponsor films like

“**Rocket Man**”, a bio of the openly homosexual Elton John, to the tune of **\$4.5**

million, in what the *Daily Beast* describes as, “Elton John’s rather steamy biopic

Rocketman, which portrays the entertainer’s drug problems and is the first studio

movie to portray gay sex between men in an authentic way.”

<https://www.thedailybeast.com/how-the-vaticans-charity-spent-millions-on-elton-johns-rocketman-biopic>

Or it may go to totally secular real-estate deals such as the **€350 million investment in a luxury London real estate venture.**

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/explainer-behind-the-vaticans-london-real-estate-scandal-vatican-london-explainer-pope-francis-rome-b1877668.html>

Because of a blatant lack of transparency or accountability, your donation may simply be used in money-laundering for gangsters. Don't believe me? See

<https://www.europeanceo.com/finance/top-5-financial-transgressions-committed-by-the-vatican/>

On the other hand, it may have gone to building the **\$2.2 million Tudor mansion** by LBGT-friendly Atlanta **Archbishop Wilton Gregory** (now a cardinal of Washington, D.C.!), or Newark New Jersey's **Archbishop John Myers** whose archdiocese spent **\$500,000** “to expand his retirement home, adding an indoor therapy pool, fireplaces and an office library.”

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/atlanta-archbishop-wilton-gregory-apologizes-for-22-million-mansion/>

It is not only American Catholics who are getting fleeced: **German bishop Franz-**

Peter Tebartz-van Elst spent **\$43 million** on a new residence and related renovations, including a 5 foot deep **fish tank**, filled with Koi carpfish, at a cost of **\$300,000**.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2014/03/28/how-the-bishop-of-bling-spent-43-million-renovating-this-house/>

Is this really where you want your *very hard-earned* money to go? **It does!**

Refuse to legitimize this travesty. As we encouraged you earlier, find a Traditional Catholic Church not associated with the religious and financial scam that the Vatican has become since that tragic Council in 1962 when the children sold their Mother into shame.

A Lingering Question

Should we, then, dismiss *Novus Ordo* Catholics? NO! The vast majority of them do not *know* — ***for they were never taught*** — the most basic concepts of genuine Catholicism, let alone proper comportment and apparel at Mass (which is **not** a free-for-all for every religious impulse and expression!). The blame lays squarely on the narrow shoulders of their **“bishops” who never exercised**

oversight or authority over their priests — and their priests who never assured the proper catechesis of their flocks.

Let us be frank, for the past 60 years Catechesis essentially became crayons and insipid but expensive glossy books with not so much as a crease in their bindings. Most Catholic Masses remain effectively Protestant in tenor, presentation, architecture, statuary, stained-glass, Marty Haugen (not a Catholic) music and “I’m okay, you’re okay” homilies geared to the brainless.

This is not to impugn the piety of the aging congregation who — **in the absence of *proper and authentic Catechesis*** — never took the time to examine the *unimpeachable* credentials of their own religion, or even its differentiation from every other religion.

Despite the ignorance (understood in its actual definition as “a lack of knowledge, an absence of information”) **of doctrine and dogma that we almost universally encounter in the post-Vatican II church, it is vital to us, as traditional Catholics, to understand — and answer — two essential points of confusion:**

I. Does this mean that the Eucharist confected by a *Novus Ordo* priest in a *Novus Ordo* Church is not Sacramentally valid?

No. It is *still* the Holy Eucharist providing that the priest “does what the Church does”, that the priest has a real internal intention to act as a minister of Christ, or **to do what Christ instituted the Sacraments to effect**. In short, the minister does not have to *intend* what the Church *intends*, but only what the Church *does*. **The object of his intention is the action or ceremony performed, not the *purpose* of the action.** To wit:

“**The Council of Trent does not mention the purpose of the sacrament or say that the minister ought to *intend* to do what the Church *intends* but what the Church does.** Moreover, what the Church *does* refers to the *action*, not the purpose. **There is required the intention with regard to the action**, not in so far as it is a natural action, but in so far as it is a sacred action or ceremony, which Christ instituted or Christians practice. If one intends to perform the ceremony which the Church performs, that is enough.” (Saint Robert Bellarmine, *de Sacramentis in genere* chapter 27)

II. Traditional Catholics who (justly) defy Vatican II should be **paradigms of humility and holiness**, remembering the admonishment of the Beloved Apostle:

“If any man say, I love God, and hateth his brother; he is a liar. For he that loveth not his brother, whom he seeth, how can he love God, whom he seeth

not? And this commandment we have from God, that he, who loveth God,
love also his brother.” (1 Saint John 4.20-21)

When we are reviled, we bless; when persecuted we patiently endure, when
mocked we do not reproach but pray for those who despise us; we do good to those
who do us evil; nor are we overcome by evil, but — by the grace of God alone —
overcome evil with good — as Our Blessed Lord and His Apostle taught us and all
who would follow them.

But we will not call evil good, nor good evil, nor will we compromise with deceit,
crucifying Truth anew.

¹ Saint John 18.38

² Acts of the Apostles 4.12

³ [Quotations from Chairman Francis](#)

Geoffrey K. Mondello
Editor
editor@boston-catholic-journal.com
Boston Catholic Journal
www.boston-catholic-journal.com



Copyright © 2004 - 2022 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.