

Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

www.boston-catholic-journal.com editor@boston-catholic-journal.com

The "AMAZONIAN FACE" of the NEW "Church of Accompaniment, Ecology, and Bio-diversity"



the "Gaze of love"

Gives you the shivers, yes?

This is the **"gaze of Love"** — of which Francis effusively speaks in his defective, and often heretical, encyclical *Laudato Si* — "*The Joy of Love*" (given his insistence on abrogating the 6th Commandment, it is an appropriately salacious title).

Francis's critics, and those to whom he accords less than this "gaze of love", are quite familiar with it — especially those "rigid Catholics" whom he denigrates as suffering from "**coprophilia**, or arousal from excrement, and … **coprophagia**, or eating excrement" <u>http://www.boston-catholic-journal.com/carefully-crafted-bad-language-pope-francis-to-his-detractors.htm</u>

But Francis can — and *will* — stoop to less in the upcoming **Synod** aptly called "**Amazonia**: **New Paths for The Church and for an <u>Integral Ecology</u>."** No, that is not a misprint: it is *not* "**Integral Theology**" — that would be nonsensical enough, but the pope and the Church clambering aboard the <u>secular</u> band-wagon of **ecology**?

Little wonder that among those *personally* invited by Francis are:





Pro-abortion, pro-lesbian/pro-gay/pro-bisexual/protranssexual ex-United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki-moon,

Pro-homosexual and left-wing propagandist Bishop Robert McElroy of San Diego



Renegade Cardinal Reinhard Marx of Germany



Father Eleazar López Hernández, Mexican liberation theologian and proponent of **"indigenous Indian theology"** that has absolutely nothing to do with the Gospels.



German Father *"we can discover God's Revelation among these indigenous peoples "* **Paulo Suess**



Jewish American <u>economist</u> Jeffrey Sachs, who advocates abortion and Malthusian population control, and who was a key contributor to *Laudato Si*, Francis's Encyclical confusing environmentalism with worship.

Quite a tribe of themselves, yes?

A <u>pro-everything</u> (except Pro-Life) non-Catholic **UN Secretary, Moon** who at least hints at his own confusion: "To those who are gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender, let me say — you are not alone. ... decriminalize consensual same-sex relationships, ban discrimination and educate the public" — and whose attempts to state **anything** profound and memorable ("Terrorism is a threat to peace and security") do not quite attain to the Analects of Confucius ...

Bishop Robert McElroy insists <u>that</u> "Many times, our discussions in the life of the Church suggest that **chastity** has a singularly powerful role in determining our moral character, or our relationship with God. It does not." Hmmmm. The bishop, clearly, is no Biblical exegete. Moreover, consider the <u>following</u>, "We have to reflect upon the question as to how to assess in a differentiated manner a relationship between two homosexual persons," he says. "Is there not so much positive and good and right so that we have to be more just?" **Cardinal Reinhard Marx** — oddly, much like Moon and McElroy — has an odd fixation on male genitalia: "*If a same-sex couple are faithful, care for one another and intend to stay together for life God won't say 'All that doesn't interest me.*"

Father Eleazar López Hernández: Is an outspoken proponent of <u>indigenous and pre-Christian</u> <u>"Indian Theology"</u> (pagan spirituality) insistent on "*nourishing in the faithful expectations contrary to the Magisterium and Tradition*, as in the case of a permanent diaconate oriented towards a married priesthood."

Father Paulo Hess, it appears, has found no need for the Synoptic Gospels — or, far that matter, Sacred Scripture itself — which has been regressively "*aufheben*" or abolished by the — ready for this word? "**aucthonomus**" (a big word meaning nothing more than *native*, but sounding *so much more* learned!) religions and spiritualities that are held to have preceded Christianity itself — which is warrant enough to imply that it is *more authentic* in virtue of being presumably *older* (history and even historiography were not fields of study in Amazonian culture. Apart from oral tradition and crude artifacts there is no Amazonian history preceding Christianity which brought this reflexive concept with it. In this sense "**aucthonomus**" Egyptian religion circa 2050 B.C. with its polytheistic deities (including animals) is superior to divinely revealed and rationally articulated Christianity. Because it is older. Older = wiser = *more* authentic = "**aucthonomus**" which = native, which = older. Rather circular reasonong, but you get the point.

Jeffrey Sachs — an *economist*? — will likely be pontificating on a religion he does not believe in the way that Francis pontificates on economies he has no understanding of. It is a matter of competence which neither seems to grasp — to say nothing of widespread perception.

"It's the Ecology, Stupid!"

In a way reminiscent of Bill Clinton's famous mantra to his field workers, *"It's the economy, stupid!"* when his political machine swerved from the central issue in canvassing for the votes that he was sure would get him elected, the absence of moral credentials in those *especially* invited by Francis is quite beside the point. The Synod is not about morals. Still less is it about

promoting Catholicism in a continent quickly being lost to Evangelical Protestants through the religious vacuum that resulted from the <u>absence</u> — and in Francis's case, the <u>prohibition</u> <u>against</u> — Catholic Missionary zeal since Vatican II. In this case *"it's Ecumenism, stupid"*. But no one is listening. *The planet must be saved!* An *ecological apocalypse* is upon us — now! And the Amazon, we are told, has "the Lungs" even if the Catholic episcopate does not have "the Brains" to distinguish between socio-political flatus and a clearly distinguishable reality.

In what Gospel, we ask, did Christ proclaim that ecological mandate? Are <u>endangered souls</u> a **concern to "the church" any longer ...** <u>or just endangered species</u> and tribal affinities for a *summum bonum* understood as being "close to the **earth**" rather than *close to God*?

Must we now confess (were Confession acknowledged as a vital Sacrament since Vatican II) our "<u>sins against Mother Earth, Sister Sun, and Brother Moon</u>" — and will two of the three constitute ecological incest?! Perhaps *that* is the sin for which there is no forgiveness in the *New church-of-Accompaniment* that supplanted the Holy Catholic Church in 1969? — in addition, of course, to the "religious imperialism" that Catholic Missionaries in centuries past inflicted — oddly, at great cost to themselves — on this advanced culture — "with a perfectly good religion of their own" — notwithstanding human and animal sacrifice, the subjugation of women, and the enslavement of enemies with whom they warred ceaselessly.

In the meanwhile, let us strive to cultivate "the gaze of love" on all that moves upon the earth — *except* the Catholics who have kept the Faith against every persecutor in the "church" since 1960 — you know, the gaze that *so* suffuses the countenance of its principle proponent (see above). What's not to love?

Editor Boston Catholic Journal



Copyright © 2004 - 2023Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.